

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 RYNE M. SEETO,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 NEVADA HIGHWAY PATROL, et al.,

7 Defendants

Case No.: 2:25-cv-00542-APG-NJK

**Order Accepting Report and  
Recommendation and Dismissing Case**

[ECF No. 3]

8 On March 25, 2025, Magistrate Judge Koppe recommended that I dismiss plaintiff Ryne  
9 Seeto's complaint because it is duplicative of an earlier-filed action in this court. ECF No. 3.  
10 Seeto did not object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and  
11 recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to "make a de novo  
12 determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is  
13 made"); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) ("the  
14 district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo *if*  
15 *objection is made*, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)).

16 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Koppe's report and recommendation  
17 (ECF No. 3) is accepted and plaintiff Ryne Seeto's complaint in this case is dismissed as  
18 duplicative of the complaint in 2:25-cv-00519-CDS-NJK. The clerk of court is instructed to  
19 close this case.

20 DATED this 1st day of May, 2025.

21 

22 ANDREW P. GORDON  
23 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE